arXiv:1409.5861v1 [math.PR] 20 Sep 2014

An extension of the Beckner's type Poincaré inequality to convolution measures on abstract Wiener spaces

Paolo Da Pelo[1] Alberto Lanconelli[2] Aurel I. Stan[3]

Abstract

We generalize the Beckner's type Poincaré inequality [3] to a large class of probability measures on an abstract Wiener space of the form $\mu \star \nu$, where μ is the reference Gaussian measure and ν is a probability measure satisfying a certain integrability condition. As the Beckner inequality interpolates between the Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, we utilize a family of products for functions which interpolates between the usual point-wise multiplication and the Wick product. Our approach is based on the positivity of a quadratic form involving Wick powers and integration with respect to those convolution measures. Our dimension-independent results are compared with some very recent findings in the literature. In addition, we prove that in the finite dimensional case the class of densities of convolutions measures satisfies a point-wise covariance inequality.

Keywords: Beckner's type Poincaré inequality, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, Wick product, convolution measures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60H07, 60H30.

1 Introduction

In 1989 Beckner [3] proved the following inequality:

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\mu(w) - \int_W \left|e^{-\tau N} f(w)\right|^2 d\mu(w) \leq (2-p) \int_W |Df(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \qquad (1.1)$$

where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $e^{-\tau} = \sqrt{p-1}$, μ is a standard Gaussian probability measure on the (possibly infinite dimensional) space W, Df denotes a suitable gradient of f and N stands for the *number* or *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* operator. Observe that when $p = 1$ or equivalently $\tau = +\infty$ then (1.1) coincides with the classic Poincaré inequality ([6], [15]):

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\mu(w) - \Big(\int_W f(w) d\mu(w) \Big)^2 \leq \int_W |Df(w)|^2 d\mu(w).$$

[1]Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Via E. Orabona 4, 70125 Bari - Italia. E-mail: *paolo.dapelo@uniba.it*

[2]Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Via E. Orabona 4, 70125 Bari - Italia. E-mail: *alberto.lanconelli@uniba.it*

[3]Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University at Marion, 1465 Mount Vernon Avenue, Marion, OH 43302, U.S.A. E-mail: *stan.7@osu.edu*

Moreover, utilizing the Nelson's hyper-contractive estimate [16]:

$$\int_W \left|e^{-\tau N} f(w)\right|^2 d\mu(w) \leq \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^p d\mu(w) \Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

one can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\mu(w) - \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^p d\mu(w) \Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq (2-p) \int_W |Df(w)|^2 d\mu(w). \tag{1.2}$$

Dividing both sides of (1.2) by $2-p$ and letting $p \to 2^-$ one obtains the logarithmic Sobolev inequality ([10]):

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_W |f(w)|^2 \ln\big(|f(w)|^2\big) d\mu(w) - \int_W |f(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \cdot \ln\Big(\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \Big) \\ &\leq 2 \int_W |Df(w)|^2 d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (1.1)-(1.2), viewed as an interpolation between the Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalites, have attracted the attention of several authors; generalizations to log-concave measures, search for best constants and applications to partial differential equations have been the main topics of investigation. We refer the reader to the papers [1], [2], [14], [21] and the references quoted there.

In the paper [8] the authors introduced and studied a family of products for functions defined on Gaussian spaces:

$$f \circ_\alpha g := e^{\tau N}\Big(e^{-\tau N} f \cdot e^{-\tau N} g \Big) \tag{1.3}$$

where $\sqrt{\alpha} := e^{-\tau}$ and f, g belong to some suitable function space (see Section 2.2 below for precise conditions). This family of products interpolates between the usual pointwise multiplication, when $\alpha = 1$, and the Wick product, when $\alpha = 0$ (this is obtained in [8] through a limit argument). The crucial role of this family of products is in connection with the theory of stochastic integration and stochastic differential equations; in fact, one can prove that the following Wong-Zakai-type theorem holds:
If for $k \geq 1$, $\{W_t^k\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a smooth approximation of the white noise $W_t := \frac{dB_t}{dt}$ (B_t being a one dimensional Brownian motion) then the solution of

$$\frac{dX_t^k}{dt} = b(X_t^k) + X_t^k \circ_\alpha W_t^k, \quad X_0^k = x$$

converges in $\mathcal{L}^p(W, \mu)$, as k goes to infinity, to the solution of

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + X_t d^\alpha B_t, \quad X_0 = x$$

where

$$\int_0^T X_t d^\alpha B_t := \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n X_{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})t_{k-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2} t_k} \cdot (B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}}).$$

Observe that when $\alpha = 0$ or 1 we obtain the Itô and Stratonovich integrals, respectively.

The aim of the present paper is to show that the Beckner's inequality (1.1) can be generalized in a natural way to convolution measures on abstract Wiener spaces. This generalization passes through the use of the products $\circ_\alpha$ defined in (1.3) and contains as a particular case the Poincaré-type inequality obtained in [13]. More precisely, we will prove the following inequality:

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) \leq (1-\alpha) \int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w) \tag{1.4}$$

where (W, H, μ) is an abstract Wiener space, $\rho = \mu \star \nu$ and ν is a probability measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$. To see how (1.4) reduces to (1.1) when $\rho = \mu$ observe that by definition $\alpha = p - 1$ and that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\mu(w) &= \int_W e^{\tau N}\big(e^{-\tau N} f \cdot e^{-\tau N} f\big)(w) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_W e^{-\tau N} f(w) \cdot e^{-\tau N} f(w) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_W \big|e^{-\tau N} f(w)\big|^2 d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\circ_\alpha$ approaches the Wick product $\diamond$ as $\alpha \to 0^+$, inequality (1.4) becomes in that limit

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_W (f \diamond f)(w) d\rho(w) \leq \int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w).$$

This last inequality, obtained in [13], is weaker than the classic Poincaré inequality for the measure ρ since in general we have

$$\Big(\int_W f(w) d\rho(w)\Big)^2 \leq \int_W (f \diamond f)(w) d\rho(w).$$

Our approach is based on a novel idea whose crucial ingredient is the positive definiteness of a certain quadratic form involving Wick powers and integration with respect to convolution measures (see Proposition 3.1 below). We mention that in the very recent papers [23], [22] and [5] Poincaré, weak Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for convolution measures on finite dimensional Euclidean spaces have been investigated: here the reference Gaussian (or log-concave) measure is convolved with compactly supported measures. We work in a dimension free framework and once we specify our assumptions for the finite dimensional case (see Corollary 3.10 below) we get an exponential integrability condition on the measure ν (see (3.10) below), which is clearly satisfied for compactly supported measures. However, as we mentioned above, inequality (1.4) is weaker, at least for $\alpha = 0$, than the Poincaré inequality (and hence the logarithmic Sobolev inequality) studied in the above mentioned papers.
We first prove inequality (1.4) for f being a linear combination of stochastic exponentials and then, under an additional condition on the integrating measure ρ, we extend the validity of the result by density to suitable Sobolev spaces, which clearly contain the class of smooth cylindrical functions (that usually represent the class for testing

functional inequalities on infinite dimensional domains).
The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 collects definitions, notations and the necessary background material while in Section 3, after some preliminary results, we state and prove the main theorem of the paper followed by some refinements for the finite dimensional case and a point-wise covariance inequality (see (3.12) below) satisfied by the densities of convolution measures with respect to the reference Gaussian measure.

2 Framework

The aim of this section is to collect the necessary background material and fix the notation. For the sake of clarity the topics will not be treated in their greatest generality. For more details the interested reader is referred to the books of Bogachev [4], Janson [12], Nualart [17] and to the paper by Potthoff and Timpel [19] (the latter reference is suggested, among other things, for the theory of the spaces $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ and the notion of Wick product).

2.1 The spaces $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$ and $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$

Let (H, W, μ) be an *abstract Wiener space*, that means $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H)$ is a separable Hilbert space which is continuously and densely embedded in the Banach space $(W, \|\cdot\|_W)$ and μ is a Gaussian probability measure on the Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(W)$ of W such that

$$\int_W e^{i\langle w, w^*\rangle} d\mu(w) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|w^*\|_H^2}, \qquad \text{for all } w^* \in W^*. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $W^* \subset H$ denotes the dual space of W (which in turn is dense in H) and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the dual pairing between W and W^*. We will refer to H as the *Cameron-Martin* space of W. Set for $p \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu) := \Big\{ f : W \to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \|f\|_p := \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^p d\mu(w) \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \Big\}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that the map

$$\begin{aligned} W^* &\to \mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu) \\ w^* &\mapsto \langle w, w^*\rangle \end{aligned}$$

is an isometry; we can therefore define for μ-almost all $w \in W$ the quantity $\langle w, h\rangle$ for $h \in H$ as an element of $\mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$.
We now introduce the gradient operator and a class of functions of Sobolev type. On the set

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ f(w) = \varphi(\langle w, h_1\rangle, ..., \langle w, h_n\rangle) \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}, h_1, ..., h_n \in H \text{ and } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

define

$$D(\varphi(\langle w, h_1\rangle, ..., \langle w, h_n\rangle)) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\langle w, h_1\rangle, ..., \langle w, h_n\rangle) h_j.$$

The operator D maps $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu;H)$; moreover by means of the integration by parts formula

$$\int_W \langle Df(w),h\rangle_H d\mu(w) = \int_W f(w)\cdot\langle w,h\rangle d\mu(w), \quad f\in\mathcal{S}, h\in H$$

one can prove that D is closable in $\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$; we therefore define the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ to be closure of $\mathcal{S}$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{1,p} := \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^p d\mu(w) + \int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^p d\mu(w)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In a similar way, iterating the definition of D and introducing for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the norms

$$\|f\|_{k,p} := \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^p d\mu(w) + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_W \|D^j f(w)\|_{H^{\otimes j}}^p d\mu(w)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

one constructs the spaces $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$.
In order to prove our main results we need to introduce an additional class of functions. To this aim recall that by the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition theorem any element f in $\mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$ has an infinite orthogonal expansion

$$f = \sum_{n\geq 0} \delta^n(f_n),$$

where $f_n\in H^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, the space of symmetric elements of $H^{\otimes n}$, and $\delta^n(f_n)$ stands for the multiple Wiener-Itô integral of f_n. We remark that $\delta^1(f_1)$ coincides with the element $\langle w, f_1\rangle$ mentioned above. Moreover, one has

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n\geq 0} n!\|f_n\|_{H^{\otimes n}}^2.$$

It is useful to observe that if f happens to be in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ then

$$\int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\mu(w) = \sum_{n\geq 1} nn!\|f_n\|_{H^{\otimes n}}^2.$$

For any $\lambda\geq 0$ define the operator $\Gamma(\lambda)$ acting on $\mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$ as

$$\Gamma(\lambda)\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0}\delta^n(f_n)\Big) := \sum_{n\geq 0}\lambda^n\delta^n(f_n).$$

Observe that with $\lambda = e^{-\tau}$, $\tau\geq 0$ then the operator $\Gamma(\lambda)$ coincides with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

$$(P_\tau f)(w) := \int_W f\big(e^{-\tau}w + \sqrt{1-e^{-2\tau}}\tilde{w}\big)d\mu(\tilde{w}), \quad w\in W, \tau\geq 0$$

which is a bounded operator. Otherwise, $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is an unbounded operator with domain in $\mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda := \Big\{ f = \sum_{n\geq 0}\delta^n(f_n)\in\mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu) \text{ such that } \|f\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}^2 := \sum_{n\geq 0} n!\lambda^{2n}\|f_n\|_{H^{\otimes n}}^2 < +\infty\Big\}.$$

The family $\{\mathcal{G}_\lambda\}_{\lambda\geq 1}$ is a collection of Hilbert spaces with the property that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda_2} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\lambda_1} \subset \mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$$

for $1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Define $\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{\lambda\geq 1} \mathcal{G}_\lambda$ endowed with the projective limit topology; the space $\mathcal{G}$ turns out to be a reflexive Fréchet space. Its dual $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a space of generalized functions that can be represented as $\mathcal{G}^* = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \mathcal{G}_\lambda$. We remark that for $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(W,\mu)$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$ one has

$$\langle\langle f, g\rangle\rangle = \int_W f(w)g(w)d\mu(w)$$

where $\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle$ stands for the dual pairing between $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}$.
One of the most representative elements of $\mathcal{G}$ is the so called *stochastic exponential*

$$w \in W \mapsto \mathcal{E}(h)(w) := \exp\left\{\langle w, h\rangle - \frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\right\}, \quad h \in H.$$

We recall that stochastic exponentials correspond among other things to Radon-Nikodym derivatives, with respect to the underlying Gaussian measure μ, of probability measures on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ obtained through shifted copies of μ along Cameron-Martin directions. Its membership to $\mathcal{G}$ can be easily verified since the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition of $\mathcal{E}(h)$ is obtained with $f_n = \frac{h^{\otimes n}}{n!}$. Moreover the linear span of the stochastic exponentials, that we denote with $\mathcal{E}$, is dense in $\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$, $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$, for any $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{G}$.

2.2 The Wick and α-products

For $h, k \in H$ define

$$\mathcal{E}(h) \diamond \mathcal{E}(k) := \mathcal{E}(h+k).$$

This is called the *Wick product* of $\mathcal{E}(h)$ and $\mathcal{E}(k)$. Extend this operation by linearity to $\mathcal{E}$ to get a commutative, associative and distributive (with respect to the sum) multiplication. The Wick product is easily seen to be an unbounded bilinear operator on the $\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$ spaces; for instance, the Wick product $f \diamond g$ of the two square integrable elements f and g lives in the distributional space $\mathcal{G}^*$.
Now, let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$ for some $p > 1$. For $\alpha \in]0,1]$ define

$$(f \circ_\alpha g)(w) := \Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})(\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f \cdot \Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})g)(w), \quad w \in W. \tag{2.2}$$

This is called the *α-product* of f and g; it was introduced for the first time in [8] in connection with stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equations. This family of products provides an interpolation between the usual point-wise multiplication (obtained trivially with α=1) and the Wick product (obtained in the limit as $\alpha \to 0^+$). A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{E}(h_1) \circ_\alpha \mathcal{E}(h_2) = \mathcal{E}(h_1+h_2)e^{\alpha\langle h_1, h_2\rangle_H}. \tag{2.3}$$

The reader is referred to Theorem 3.4 below for a sharp Hölder inequality for the family of products $\circ_\alpha$.

3 Main results

In the sequel we will call *convolution measure* on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ any probability measure of the form $\mu \star \nu$ where μ is the reference Gaussian measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$, ν is a probability measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ and

$$(\mu \star \nu)(A) := \int_W \mu(A - w) d\nu(w), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(W).$$

We begin this section with a simple but crucial result: the description of the interplay between the Wick product and convolution measures.

Proposition 3.1 *Let ν be a probability measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ and define $\rho := \mu * \nu$. Then for every $z_1, ..., z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h_1, ..., h_n \in H$ one has*

$$\int_W \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \Big) \diamond \overline{\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \Big)} d\rho(w) \geq 0$$

where i is the imaginary unit and $\overline{u}$ stands for the complex conjugate of u.

Proof. We simply need to utilize the definition of Wick product and the Fourier transform characterization (2.1) of the underlying Gaussian measure μ:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_W \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \Big) \diamond \overline{\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \Big)} d\rho(w) \\
= & \int_W \sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \diamond \exp\Big\{ -i\langle w, h_k\rangle + \frac{\|h_k\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} d\rho(w) \\
= & \int_W \sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j - h_k\rangle + \frac{\|h_j - h_k\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} d\rho(w) \\
= & \sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \exp\Big\{ \frac{\|h_j - h_k\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \int_W \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j - h_k\rangle \Big\} d\rho(w) \\
= & \sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \exp\Big\{ \frac{\|h_j - h_k\|_H^2}{2} \Big\} \int_W \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j - h_k\rangle \Big\} d\mu(w) \int_W \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j - h_k\rangle \Big\} d\nu(w) \\
= & \sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \int_W \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j - h_k\rangle \Big\} d\nu(w) \\
= & \int_W \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle \Big\} \Big) \cdot \overline{\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle \Big\} \Big)} d\nu(w) \\
= & \int_W \Big| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{ i\langle w, h_j\rangle \Big\} \Big|^2 d\nu(w) \\
\geq & \ 0.
\end{aligned}$$

∎

Remark 3.2 *Assume the measure ρ from the previous proposition to be absolutely continuous with respect to μ with a density ξ belonging to $\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$ for some $p>1$. In this case we can write*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_W \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\Big)\diamond\overline{\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\Big)}d\rho(w)\\
=&\int_W \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\Big)\diamond\overline{\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h_j\rangle + \frac{\|h_j\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\Big)}\cdot\xi(w)d\mu(w)\\
=&\sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j\bar z_k\int_W \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h_j-h_k\rangle + \frac{\|h_j-h_k\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\xi(w)d\mu(w)\\
=&\sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j\bar z_k\tau_\xi(h_j-h_k)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h\in H\mapsto\tau_\xi(h):=\int_W \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h\rangle+\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\xi(w)d\mu(w).$$

With this notation the statement of Proposition 3.1 reads

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n z_j\bar z_k\tau_\xi(h_j-h_k)\geq 0$$

which means that the function τ_ξ is positive definite; the latter is in turn equivalent, according to Proposition 5.1 in [18], to the property

$$\langle\langle\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi,\varphi\rangle\rangle\geq 0 \text{ for each non negative } \varphi\in\mathcal{G} \text{ and } \alpha>0. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle$ denotes the dual pairing between the distributional space $\mathcal{G}^*$ and the test function space $\mathcal{G}$. We mention that elements satisfying condition (3.1) are referred in [18] as strongly positive.

Another connection between convolution measures and Wick product is the following.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\rho_1:=\mu\star\nu_1$ and $\rho_2:=\mu\star\nu_2$ be convolution measures on $(W,\mathcal{B}(W))$ and assume the existence of $\xi_1,\xi_2\in\mathcal{L}^1(W,\mu)$ such that $d\rho_1=\xi_1 d\mu$ and $d\rho_2=\xi_2 d\mu$. Then for $\rho_3:=\mu\star\nu_1\star\nu_2$ one has $d\rho_3=\xi_1\diamond\xi_2 d\mu$.*

Proof. Let $h\in H$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_W\exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\rho_3(w) &= \int_W\exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d(\mu\star\nu_1\star\nu_2)(w)\\
&= \int_W\exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\mu(w)\cdot\int_W\exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\nu_1(w)\\
&\quad\times\int_W\exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\nu_2(w)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \exp\Big\{-\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\nu_1(w)\\
&\quad\times\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\nu_2(w)\\
&= \exp\Big\{\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\rho_1(w)\\
&\quad\times\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}d\rho_2(w)\\
&= \exp\Big\{\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}\xi_1(w)d\mu(w)\\
&\quad\times\int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}\xi_2(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \exp\Big\{-\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\int_W \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h\rangle+\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\xi_1(w)d\mu(w)\\
&\quad\times\int_W \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h\rangle+\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\xi_2(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \exp\Big\{-\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}\cdot\int_W \exp\Big\{i\langle w,h\rangle+\frac{\|h\|_H^2}{2}\Big\}(\xi_1\diamond\xi_2)(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \int_W \exp\{i\langle w,h\rangle\}(\xi_1\diamond\xi_2)(w)d\mu(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we utilized the characterizing property of the Wick product

$$\int_W (f\diamond g)(w)\mathcal{E}(h)(w)d\mu(w)=\int_W f(w)\mathcal{E}(h)(w)d\mu(w)\cdot\int_W g(w)\mathcal{E}(h)(w)d\mu(w)$$

which holds for any $h\in H$. ∎

The next theorem is a particular case of a more general result proved in [9] where the reader is referred for the proof (the link between the theorem presented below and the results in the reference mentioned before is: $\Gamma(\lambda)(f\circ_\alpha g)=\Gamma(\lambda)f\circ_{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}}\Gamma(\lambda)g$). It provides a Hölder inequality for the family of α-products $\circ_\alpha$ which we will utilize to find the right function spaces for our extension of the Beckner's type Poincaré inequality.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $p,q,r>1$ and $\alpha\in[0,1]$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{r-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}=\frac{1+\alpha}{2(p-1)+2\alpha}+\frac{1+\alpha}{2(q-1)+2\alpha}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then for any $f\in\mathcal{L}^p(W,\mu)$ and $g\in\mathcal{L}^q(W,\mu)$ one has $\Gamma\big(\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}\big)(f\circ_\alpha g)\in\mathcal{L}^r(W,\mu)$. More precisely,

$$\Big\|\Gamma\big(\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}\big)(f\circ_\alpha g)\Big\|_r\le\|f\|_p\cdot\|g\|_q. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.5 *Observe that when $\alpha=1$ then $\circ_\alpha$ coincides with the usual point-wise product and (3.2)-(3.3) become the classic Hölder inequality. On the other hand, when $\alpha=0$ then $\circ_\alpha$ coincides with the Wick product and (3.2)-(3.3) reduce to the Hölder-Young-Lieb inequality proved in [7].*

We now make the first step towards the main result of the present paper. We are going to show that the left hand side of our main inequality (see (3.5) below) is non negative.

Proposition 3.6 *Let ν be a probability measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ and choose $\alpha \in]0,1]$. Assume that $\rho := \mu * \nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with density ξ belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}}$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}^{3+\alpha}(W,\mu)$ one gets*

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. First of all observe that the integrals appearing in the left hand side of (3.4) are finite. In fact, by the Nelson hyper-contractive inequality we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} &= \Big\| \Gamma\big(\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}\big) \Gamma\big(\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}\big) \xi \Big\|_{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \\ &\leq \Big\| \Gamma\big(\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}\big) \xi \Big\|_2 \\ &< +\infty \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(W,\mu)$. Therefore, using Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) &= \int_W |f(w)|^2 \cdot \xi(w) d\mu(w) \\ &\leq \Big(\int_W |f(w)|^{3+\alpha} d\rho(w) \Big)^{\frac{2}{3+\alpha}} \cdot \|\xi\|_{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \\ &= \|f\|^2_{3+\alpha} \cdot \|\xi\|_{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{3+\alpha}{2}$ is the conjugate exponent of $\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}$. This shows the finiteness of the first integral in (3.4). Concerning the second integral, note that for $\alpha \leq 1$ one has $2(1+\alpha) \leq 3+\alpha$ which implies $\mathcal{L}^{3+\alpha}(W,\mu) \subset \mathcal{L}^{2(1+\alpha)}(W,\mu)$. Now choosing $p = q = 2(1+\alpha)$ and $r = 2$ in (3.2) we get from (3.3) that

$$\Big\| \Gamma\big(\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}\big) (f \circ_\alpha f) \Big\|_2 \leq \|f\|^2_{2(1+\alpha)}$$

which implies that $f \circ_\alpha f \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}}$ (under our assumption on f). Therefore, the integral

$$\int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) = \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) \cdot \xi(w) d\mu(w)$$

is finite if $\xi \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}}$.
To prove inequality (3.4) we recall (see Remark 3.2 above) that the function ξ, being the density of a convolution measure, is strongly positive, i.e. $\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi \geq 0$ (in distributional sense) for any $\alpha > 0$. Hence using the definition of $f \circ_\alpha f$ we can write

$$\int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) = \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) \xi(w) d\mu(w)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_W \Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})(\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f)^2(w)\cdot\xi(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \int_W (\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f)^2(w)\cdot(\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi)(w)d\mu(w)\\
&\leq \int_W \Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f^2(w)\cdot(\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi)(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \int_W f^2(w)\cdot\xi(w)d\mu(w)\\
&= \int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the inequality we utilized the Jensen inequality for the bounded operator $\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})$ and the convex function $x \mapsto x^2$. ∎

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of the present paper.

Theorem 3.7 *Let ν be a probability measure on $(W, \mathcal{B}(W))$ and choose $\alpha \in]0,1]$. Assume that $\rho := \mu * \nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with density ξ belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}}$. Then for every $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,3+\alpha}$ one has*

$$
\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) \leq (1-\alpha)\int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w) \tag{3.5}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_W |(\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f)(w)|^2 \cdot (\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi)(w) d\mu(w)&\\
\leq (1-\alpha)\int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w).&
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}
$$

Remark 3.8 *Observe that for $\nu = \delta_0$, the Dirac measure concentrated at $0 \in W$, the measure ρ coincides with μ implying that $\xi \equiv 1$ and in particular $\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi \equiv 1$. Inserting these quantities in (3.6) we recover the Beckner's type Poincaré inequality (1.1).*

Proof. For any $\alpha \in]0,1]$ define the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_\alpha : \mathcal{E} &\to \mathcal{E}\\
f &\mapsto T_\alpha(f) := f \circ_\alpha f - |f|^2 + (1-\alpha)\|Df\|_H^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{E}$ we can write $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathcal{E}(h_j)$ for some $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_1, ..., h_n \in H$. Now substitute this expression into (3.7) to obtain (recall identity (2.3)),

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_\alpha(f) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j\lambda_k \mathcal{E}(h_j)\circ_\alpha \mathcal{E}(h_k) - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j\lambda_k \mathcal{E}(h_j)\cdot\mathcal{E}(h_k)\\
&\quad +(1-\alpha)\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j\lambda_k \mathcal{E}(h_j)\cdot\mathcal{E}(h_k)\langle h_j, h_k\rangle_H\\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j\lambda_k \mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k)e^{\alpha\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j\lambda_k \mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k)e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+(1-\alpha)\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k\mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k)e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k\mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k)\Big(e^{\alpha\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}-e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}+(1-\alpha)e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H\Big).$$

We now integrate with respect to the measure ρ the first and last terms of the previous chain of equalities to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_W T_\alpha(f)(w)d\rho(w)\\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k\Big(e^{\alpha\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}-e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}+(1-\alpha)e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H\Big)\int_W(\mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k))(w)d\rho(w)\\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k a_{jk}b_{jk},\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where for $j,k\in\{1,...,n\}$ we set

$$a_{jk}:=e^{\alpha\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}-e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}+(1-\alpha)e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H$$

and

$$b_{jk}:=\int_W(\mathcal{E}(h_j)\diamond\mathcal{E}(h_k))(w)d\rho(w).$$

Observe that the matrix $A=\{a_{jk}\}_{1\le j,k\le n}$ is positive semi-definite; in fact, if in the Beckner's type Poincaré inequality

$$\int_W|f(w)|^2d\mu(w)-\int_W|(\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f)(w)|^2d\mu(w)\le(1-\alpha)\int_W\|Df(w)\|_H^2d\mu(w)$$

we take f to be $\sum_{j=1}^n\lambda_j\mathcal{E}(h_j)$ one gets

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k(e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}-e^{\alpha\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H})\le(1-\alpha)\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k e^{\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H}\langle h_j,h_k\rangle_H,$$

which corresponds exactly to what we are claiming. On the other hand, from Proposition 3.1 the matrix $B=\{b_{jk}\}_{1\le j,k\le n}$ is positive semi-definite . Therefore the matrix $A\Box B:=\{a_{jk}\cdot b_{jk}\}_{1\le j,k\le n}$ (which corresponds to the Hadamard product of the matrix A with the matrix B) is also positive semi-definite (see for instance Styan [20]), that means

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_j\lambda_k a_{jk}b_{jk}\ge 0,$$

for any $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n\in\mathbb{R}$. From (3.8) this corresponds to

$$\int_W T_\alpha(f)(w)d\rho(w)\ge 0\quad\text{ for all } f\in\mathcal{E}.$$

Recalling the definition of T_α this is equivalent to

$$\int_W (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) - \int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) + (1-\alpha) \int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w) \geq 0.$$

which proves inequality (3.5) for $f \in \mathcal{E}$.
The next step is to extend the validity of the last inequality to the whole $\mathbb{D}^{1,3+\alpha}$.
Since the measure ρ is assumed to be absolutely continuous with respect to μ with density ξ belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}} \subset \mathcal{L}^{\frac{3+\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(W,\mu)$ we can control, via the Hölder inequality, the quantities

$$\int_W |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_W \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w)$$

with

$$\|f\|_{3+\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|Df\|_H\|_{3+\alpha}$$

respectively, and exploit the density of the set $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbb{D}^{1,3+\alpha}$. Moreover, Theorem 3.4 guarantees that for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$ the bilinear map

$$(f,g) \mapsto f \circ_\alpha g$$

is continuous from $\mathcal{L}^{3+\alpha}(W,\mu) \times \mathcal{L}^{3+\alpha}(W,\mu)$ into $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{(1+\alpha)/2}}$. This fact, together with the density of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{L}^{3+\alpha}(W,\mu)$, completes the proof of (3.5).
Inequality (3.6) follows in the same manner through the self-adjointness of the operator $\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})$. ∎

3.1 The finite dimensional case and a point-wise covariance inequality

In the previous section we proved Theorem 3.7 under the assumptions that ρ is a probability measure of convolution type, i.e. of the form $\rho = \mu \star \nu$, on a general abstract Wiener space with reference Gaussian measure μ and that ρ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with a density ξ belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2/(1+\alpha)}}$.
We now want to focus on finite dimensional abstract Wiener spaces and give easy-to-check sufficient conditions on ν which guarantee the existence of the above mentioned smooth density.
To this aim, consider the abstract Wiener space $W = H = \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$\mu(A) = \int_A (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{|w|^2}{2} \Big\} dw, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{3.9}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let ν be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and define $\rho := \mu \star \nu$. It is easy to see that the assumption of absolute continuity of ρ with respect to μ is automatically verified in this finite dimensional framework and that

$$\xi(w) \;:=\; \frac{d\rho}{d\mu}(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Observe in addition that for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the function

$$w \mapsto \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\}$$

plays the role of stochastic exponential in the abstract Wiener space under consideration. We have the following.

Proposition 3.9 *Let ν be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and assume that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\frac{\lambda^2|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y) < +\infty, \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 1.$$

Then the probability measure $\rho := \mu \star \nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with density belonging to $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$.

Proof. We have only to check the membership of

$$\xi(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

to the space $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$. Using the Minkowski inequality we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} &= \|\Gamma(\lambda)\xi\|_2 \\
&= \Big\|\Gamma(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle \cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y)\Big\|_2 \\
&= \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle \cdot, \lambda y\rangle - \frac{\lambda^2|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y)\Big\|_2 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\| \exp\Big\{\langle \cdot, \lambda y\rangle - \frac{\lambda^2|y|^2}{2}\Big\}\Big\|_2 d\nu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\frac{\lambda^2|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y) \\
&< +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

∎

We can therefore rephrase our main theorem with more transparent conditions.

Corollary 3.10 *Let $\alpha \in]0, 1]$ and consider a probability measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\frac{|y|^2}{1+\alpha}\Big\} d\nu(y) < +\infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Define in addition $\rho := \mu \star \nu$. Then for every $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,3+\alpha}$ one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f \circ_\alpha f)(w) d\rho(w) \leq (1-\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w)$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(w)|^2 d\rho(w) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\Gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})f)(w)|^2 \cdot (\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi)(w) d\mu(w) \\ \leq (1-\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|Df(w)\|_H^2 d\rho(w)$$

where

$$(\Gamma(1/\sqrt{\alpha})\xi)(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, \frac{y}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2\alpha}\Big\} d\nu(y), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We conclude the paper with an additional result on convolution measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We know from before that, if μ is the measure defined in (3.9) and ν is a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $\rho := \mu \star \nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with density

$$\xi(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu(y), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We are going to show that functions of this type satisfy a point-wise covariance inequality, that means a point-wise inequality for functions which becomes after integration a covariance inequality in Gaussian spaces.

Proposition 3.11 *Let ν_1 and ν_2 be two probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and define for $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\xi_1(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_1(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle w, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_2(y).$$

Assume that for some $p > 2$ the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{(p-1)\frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_i(y), \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{3.11}$$

are finite. Then

$$\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \geq \xi_1 \diamond \xi_2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{x_k}\xi_1 \diamond \partial_{x_k}\xi_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{G}^* \tag{3.12}$$

i.e., for any non negative $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ one has

$$\langle\langle \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle - \langle\langle \xi_1 \diamond \xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle\langle \partial_{x_k}\xi_1 \diamond \partial_{x_k}\xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. First of all observe that condition (3.11), due to Minkoski integral inequality, guarantees that ξ_1 and ξ_2 belong to $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ with $p > 2$ and hence that all the terms appearing in (3.12) live in the distributional space $\mathcal{G}^*$. Now, for a non negative $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ we can write

$$\langle\langle \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle \cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_1(y) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle \cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_2(y), \varphi\rangle\rangle$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \langle\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y+z\rangle - \frac{|y+z|^2}{2}\Big\} \exp\langle y,z\rangle d\nu_1(y)d\nu_2(z), \varphi\rangle\rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle\langle \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y+z\rangle - \frac{|y+z|^2}{2}\Big\}, \varphi\rangle\rangle \exp\langle y,z\rangle d\nu_1(y)d\nu_2(z) \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle\langle \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y+z\rangle - \frac{|y+z|^2}{2}\Big\}, \varphi\rangle\rangle (1+\langle y,z\rangle) d\nu_1(y)d\nu_2(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle\langle \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y+z\rangle - \frac{|y+z|^2}{2}\Big\}, \varphi\rangle\rangle d\nu_1(y)d\nu_2(z) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle\langle \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y+z\rangle - \frac{|y+z|^2}{2}\Big\}, \varphi\rangle\rangle \langle y,z\rangle d\nu_1(y)d\nu_2(z) \\
&= \langle\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_1(y) \diamond \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_2(y), \varphi\rangle\rangle \\
&\quad \sum_{k=1}^n \langle\langle \partial_{x_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_1(y) \diamond \partial_{x_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\Big\{\langle\cdot, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2}\Big\} d\nu_2(y), \varphi\rangle\rangle \\
&= \langle\langle (\xi_1 \diamond \xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle\langle \partial_{x_k}\xi_1 \diamond \partial_{x_k}\xi_2, \varphi\rangle\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete. ∎

Remark 3.12 *If in (3.13) we take $\varphi \equiv 1$ and assume ξ_1 and ξ_2 belonging to $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ then we obtain*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi_1(w)\cdot\xi_2(w)d\mu(w) \;\geq\; & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi_1(w)d\mu(w) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi_2(w)d\mu(w) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_k}\xi_1(w)d\mu(w) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_k}\xi_2(w)d\mu(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the finite dimensional version of the covariance inequality obtained in [11] for convex functions. Here we utilized the properties

$$
\langle\langle f, 1\rangle\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(w)d\mu(w) \quad \textit{when} \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f\diamond g)(w)d\mu(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(w)d\mu(w) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(w)d\mu(w).
$$

References

[1] A. Arnold, J.-P. Bartier and J. Dolbeault, Interpolation between logarithmic Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **5** (2007) 971-979.

[2] A. Arnold, P. Markowich, G. Toscani and A. Unterreiter, On logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for Fokker-Planck type equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **26** (2001) 43-100.

[3] W. Beckner, A generalized Poincaré inequality for Gaussian measures, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1989) 397-400.

[4] V.I. Bogachev, *Gaussian Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.

[5] L.-J. Cheng and S.-Q. Zhang, Weak Poincaré Inequality for Convolution Probability Measures, *arXiv:1407.4910v1.*

[6] H. Chernoff, A note on an inequality involving the normal distribution, *Ann. Probab* **9** (1981) 533-535.

[7] P. Da Pelo, A. Lanconelli and A. I. Stan, A Hölder-Young-Lieb inequality for norms of Gaussian Wick products, *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quantum Prob. Related Topics* **14** (2011) 375-407.

[8] P. Da Pelo, A. Lanconelli and A. I. Stan, An Itô formula for a family of stochastic integrals and related Wong-Zakai theorems, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **123** (2013) 3183-3200.

[9] P. Da Pelo, A. Lanconelli and A.I. Stan, A Hölder-Young inequality for norms of generalized Gaussian Wick products, *Preprint* (2014).

[10] L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequality, *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975) 1061-1083.

[11] Y. Hu, Itô-Wiener chaos expansion with exact residual and correlation, variance inequalities, *J. Theor. Probab.* **10** (1997) 835-848.

[12] S. Janson, *Gaussian Hilbert spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[13] A. Lanconelli, A new approach to Poincaré-type inequalities on the Wiener space, *Preprint* (2014).

[14] R. Latala and K. Oleszkiewicz, Between Sobolev and Poincaré, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1745** (2000) 147168.

[15] J. Nash, Continuity of solutions of partial and elliptic equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **80** 931-954.

[16] E. Nelson, The free Markoff field, *J . Funct. Anal.* **12** (1973) 211-227.

[17] D. Nualart, *Malliavin calculus and Related Topics, II edition*, Springer, New York 2006.

[18] D. Nualart and M. Zakai, Positive and strongly positive Wiener functionals, *Barcelona Seminar on Stochastic Analysis Progr. Probab.* **32** (1991) 132–146.

[19] J. Potthoff and M. Timpel, On a dual pair of spaces of smooth and generalized random variables, *Potential Analysis* **4** (1995) 637–654.

[20] G. Styan, Hadamard product and multivariate statistical analysis, *Linear algebra and its applications* **6** (1973) 217-240.

[21] F. Y. Wang, A generalization of Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities, *Potential Analysis* **22** (2005) 1-15.

[22] F. Y. Wang and J. Wang, Functional inequalities for convolution probability measures, *arXiv:1308.1713*.

[23] D. Zimmermann, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for mollified compactly supported measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013) 1064-1083.